

# Combining lengths

Mahdi Raza Khunt  
Mahatma Gandhi International School

Tuesday, 28 January 2020

Let  $a, b, c$  be the length of the three rods with the condition that  $a > b > c$ . The total combinations that can be made are listed below out of which only a few are helpful to us.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} + a + b + c \\ - 0 - 0 - 0 \end{array} \right\} 2^6$$

Choose out of 6

$+ a + b + c$	$+ a + b + 0$	$+ a + b - c$	$+ a + b - 0$
$+ a + 0 + c$	$+ a + 0 + 0$	$+ a + 0 - c$	$+ a + 0 - 0$
$+ a - b + c$	$+ a - b + 0$	$+ a - b - c$	$+ a - b - 0$
$+ a - 0 + c$	$+ a - 0 + 0$	$+ a - 0 - c$	$+ a - 0 - 0$
$+ 0 + b + c$	$+ 0 + b + 0$	$+ 0 + b - c$	$+ 0 + b - 0$
$+ 0 + 0 + c$	$+ 0 + 0 + 0$	$+ 0 + 0 - c$	$+ 0 + 0 - 0$
$+ 0 - b + c$	$+ 0 - b + 0$	$+ 0 - b - c$	$+ 0 - b - 0$
$+ 0 - 0 + c$	$+ 0 - 0 + 0$	$+ 0 - 0 - c$	$+ 0 - 0 - 0$
$- a + b + c$	$- a + b + 0$	$- a + b - c$	$- a + b - 0$
$- a + 0 + c$	$- a + 0 + 0$	$- a + 0 - c$	$- a + 0 - 0$
$- a - b + c$	$- a - b + 0$	$- a - b - c$	$- a - b - 0$
$- a - 0 + c$	$- a - 0 + 0$	$- a - 0 - c$	$- a - 0 - 0$
$- 0 + b + c$	$- 0 + b + 0$	$- 0 + b - c$	$- 0 + b - 0$
$- 0 + 0 + c$	$- 0 + 0 + 0$	$- 0 + 0 - c$	$- 0 + 0 - 0$
$- 0 - b + c$	$- 0 - b + 0$	$- 0 - b - c$	$- 0 - b - 0$
$- 0 - 0 + c$	$- 0 - 0 + 0$	$- 0 - 0 - c$	$- 0 - 0 - 0$

The terms marked with a box will always be positive. Out of the 2 red underlined terms only one of them will be positive.

$$\text{Either } a > (b + c) \text{ or } a < (b + c)$$

Thus the other red term will give a negative value. The rest of the canceled terms are either obtaining a negative value or are repeated.

$\boxed{+a + b + c}$	$\boxed{+a + b + 0}$	$\boxed{+a + b - c}$	<del><math>+a + b - 0</math></del>
$\boxed{+a + 0 + c}$	$\boxed{+a + 0 + 0}$	$\boxed{+a + 0 - c}$	<del><math>+a + 0 - 0</math></del>
$\boxed{+a - b + c}$	$\boxed{+a - b + 0}$	<u><math>+a - b - c</math></u>	<del><math>+a - b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>+a - 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>+a - 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>+a - 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>+a - 0 - 0</math></del>
$\boxed{+0 + b + c}$	$\boxed{+0 + b + 0}$	$\boxed{+0 + b - c}$	<del><math>+0 + b - 0</math></del>
$\boxed{+0 + 0 + c}$	<del><math>+0 + 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>+0 + 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>+0 + 0 - 0</math></del>
<del><math>+0 - b + c</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - b + 0</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - b - c</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>+0 - 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>+0 - 0 - 0</math></del>
<u><math>-a + b + c</math></u>	<del><math>-a + b + 0</math></del>	<del><math>-a + b - c</math></del>	<del><math>-a + b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>-a + 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>-a + 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>-a + 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>-a + 0 - 0</math></del>
<del><math>-a - b + c</math></del>	<del><math>-a - b + 0</math></del>	<del><math>-a - b - c</math></del>	<del><math>-a - b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>-a - 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>-a - 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>-a - 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>-a - 0 - 0</math></del>
<del><math>=0 + b + c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + b + 0</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + b - c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>=0 + 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 + 0 - 0</math></del>
<del><math>=0 - b + c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - b + 0</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - b - c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - b - 0</math></del>
<del><math>=0 - 0 + c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - 0 + 0</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - 0 - c</math></del>	<del><math>=0 - 0 - 0</math></del>

The above method is a brute force to show that we are not missing out on any combination. But, there is a much simpler way of determining the same thing. We first do this for two given length rods only

Let 'a' and 'b' be the two length rods we have with  $a > b$ . We can make the following combinations

$$\begin{array}{c}
 a \\
 b \\
 a - b \\
 a + b
 \end{array}$$

Introducing another length  $c < b < a$ , we can make the following combinations

$a$	$a + c$	$a - c$
$b$	$b + c$	$b - c$
$a - b$	$a - b + c$	<u><math>a - b - c</math></u>
$a + b$	$a + b + c$	$a + b - c$

Original Combination

Adding c to Original Combination

Subtracting c from Original Combination

If  $b + c > a$  then the term  $a - b - c$  will be negative. Then in this case we can make the other term which is  $b + c - a$ .

And we also forget that  $c$  itself can be a length.

We get the total of  $11 + 1 + 1 = 13$  terms

Thus we can make at most 13 lengths from 1

Using this information we can determine that using three rods to achieve the maximum distinct rod lengths from 1 to 13, if we can make each term distinct

1, 3, 9 is a set of three rods that can be combined to make all rods till length 13 from 1

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= 1 \\2 &= 3 - 1 \\3 &= 3 \\4 &= 3 + 1 \\5 &= 9 - 3 - 1 \\6 &= 9 - 3 \\7 &= 9 - 3 + 1 \\8 &= 9 - 1 \\9 &= 9 \\10 &= 9 + 1 \\11 &= 9 + 3 - 1 \\12 &= 9 + 3 \\13 &= 9 + 3 + 1\end{aligned}$$

To know if there exists another triple that can make the consecutive number till 13, we go systematically by checking if making length 1 is possible and no combination is giving a repeated result (i.e. all terms are distinct)

There are two cases by how length 1 can be made.

### **Case 1:**

We have a rod length 1

Thus the maximum of  $a + b + c = 13$ , hence  $a + b = 12$

$12 = 2 + 10 \implies (1,2,10)$  We can't make length 4

$12 = 3 + 9 \implies (1,3,9)$  ✓

$12 = 4 + 8 \implies (1,4,8)$  We can't make length 2

$12 = 5 + 7 \implies (1,5,7)$  We can make 1 in two ways  $7 - (5 + 1)$

Only (1,3,9) satisfy, the rest of the pairs defy our condition of  $a > b$ .

**Case 2:**

We don't have 1 in our triple but we use two consecutive rods to make length 1.

- (2,3)  $\implies$  (2,3,8)  $\implies$  We can't make length 4
- (3,4)  $\implies$  (3,4,6)  $\implies$  7 can be made in two ways,  $7 = 4 + 3$ ,  $7 = 6 + 4 - 3$
- (4,5)  $\implies$  (4,5,4)  $\implies$  Lengths have to be distinct
- (5,6)  $\implies$  (5,6,2)  $\implies$  1 can be made in two ways,  $1 = 6 - 5$ ,  $1 = 5 + 2 - 6$
- (6,7)  $\implies$  (6,7,0)  $\implies$  0 can't be chosen

And for the rest, we need to choose negative values.

Thus, only the triple of (1,3,9) exists

**Using a set of four rods:**

Let the length of the 4 rods be  $a, b, c, d$  and  $a > b > c > d$

$a$	$a + (d)$	$a - (d)$
$b$	$b + (d)$	$b - (d)$
$c$	$c + (d)$	$c - (d)$
$a - b$	$a - b + (d)$	<u><math>a - b - (d)</math></u>
$a + b$	$a + b + (d)$	$a + b - (d)$
$a + c$	$a + c + (d)$	$a + c - (d)$
$b + c$	$b + c + (d)$	$b + c - (d)$
$a - b + c$	$a - b + c + (d)$	$a - b + c - (d)$
$a + b + c$	$a + b + c + (d)$	$a + b + c - (d)$
$a - c$	$a - c + (d)$	<u><math>a - c - (d)</math></u>
$b - c$	$b - c + (d)$	<u><math>b - c - (d)</math></u>
$a - b - c$ / $-a + b + c$	$a - b - c + (d)$ / $-a + b + c + (d)$	<u><math>a - b - c - (d)</math> / <math>-a + b + c - (d)</math></u>
$a + b - c$	$a + b - c + (d)$	$a + b - c - (d)$

$a - b - (d)$ ,  $a - c - (d)$ ,  $b - c - (d)$  and  $a - b - c - (d)$  /  $-a + b + c - (d)$  can be negative depending on the numbers. If the term is giving a negative length, we try the implied combination since the inequality will then be reversed. Here implies means, that the other term will be used

$$a - b - (d) \implies -a + b + d$$

$$a - c - (d) \implies -a + c + d$$

$$b - c - (d) \implies -b + c + d$$

$$a - b - c - (d) \text{ / } -a + b + c - (d) \implies -a + b + c + d \text{ / } a - b - c + d \text{ Repeated}$$

Also we can use ' $d$ ' itself as a length

Thus we can make a total of  $(13 \times 3) - 1 + 1 = 39$

## Only addition:

If only addition of lengths is allowed we can remove the terms including subtraction and can also generalise it for  $n$  set of rods

Using only two set of rods we can make 3 combinations

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ a + b \end{array}$$

Introducing another length  $c$ , we can make the following combinations

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ a + b \end{array}$$

Original Combination

$$\begin{array}{c} a + c \\ b + c \\ a + b + c \end{array}$$

Adding  $c$  to Original Combination

And  $c$  length itself,

Introducing another length  $d$  for set of four rods we can:

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ a + b \\ a + c \\ b + c \\ a + b + c \\ c \end{array}$$

Original Combination

$$\begin{array}{c} a + (d) \\ b + (d) \\ a + b + (d) \\ a + c + (d) \\ b + c + (d) \\ a + b + c + (d) \\ c + (d) \end{array}$$

Adding  $c$  to Original Combination

And length  $d$

Let  $R_n$  denote the combinations we can make using n set of rods only using addition

Then we know

$$R_1 = 1$$

$$R_2 = 3$$

$$R_3 = 7$$

$$R_4 = 15$$

.

.

.

.

$$R_n = 2 \cdot R_{n-1} + 1$$

$$= 2^n - 1$$

Thus we can make  $2^n - 1$  combinations of lengths for n set of rods if we are allowed only to add and make lengths

